Reason why p.s. should be marked obsolete in electronic messages.

1. The editability of all electronic messages.

Since the introduction of computers all electronic messages, it is possible to edit the actual message instead of adding a "P.S." to incorporate more information after the senders name (or tag).

2. "P.S" is a sign of laziness from the sender.

To actually add a "P.S" at the end, only shows a lack of interest of the message's importance. The sender has obviously not deemed it necessary to use more time on the message itself.

3. Is "P.S." necessary to enforce some part of the message?

If a sender use "P.S" to add some importance to the following part of the message, he/she can quite easily use other means to raise the importance of that part. By simply prepending that part with "OBS!" or "Important", the sender has marked a section to be of extra importance.

4. What about using "P.S" to add regards to associations of the adressee?

Sending your regards to other people than the people you message directly is bad manners. In the era of information and communication you have shown the recipiant of such messages that they are not important enough to communicate with directly. If the sender is unable to communicate with the person on a firsthand basis there are much better ways of sending your regards or messages to that person than by doing it by proxy. If the sender does it because he/she are unwilling to communicating directly to the, because the sender and the reciever are less than friends, the sender shows a great deal of disrespect towards the proxy.

5. But I love to use "P.S." at the end of messages.

The Sender should stop it at once. "P.S." is used in handwritten or typewriter scribed letters to add extra material that was forgotten during the writing of the message. This was because you did not want rewrite the entire letter from scratch. In electronic communications this is not necessary any more and you show an unability to cope with advances in technology. It does not show any form romantic vein within the sender.

Regards Erik Höglund